

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,759	01/15/2002	Cheng Lee	23480-501	5139
29315 7	590 01/10/2006		EXAM	INER
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN	
SUITE 900	T HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		1753	
				_

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/047,759	LEE ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allo</u>	<u>wed</u>			
(1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Timothy Rooney</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>5 January 2006</u>	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:		i			
Claims discussed: none					
Prior art documents discussed: none					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
all Noverola					
(Examiner/SPE Sidnature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature - if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Rooney to let him know that the substitute specification and new drawings sent by express mail had been received. The examiner requested a substitute specification and new drawings per a request by the Draftsman on November 21, 2005 who wanted charts in the original specification made into separate drawings.